**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Ms Amanbir Kaur, D/o Sh Jaswant Singh,

C/o Sh Madan Mohan Pandey, H No-2055,

Sec-15, Chandigarh. Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Director Public Instructions (S),

Phase-8, Mohali.

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Director Public Instructions (S),

Phase-8, Mohali. ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1887 of 2017**

Present : (i) Sh. M.M.Pandey for the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Bimal Dev, Sr. Assistant

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.11.2017 vide which a show cause notice was issued to the respondent –PIO.

2. Today Sh. M.M.Pandey representative of the appellant states that no information has been given to him so far.

3. Sh. Bimal Dev- the respondent states that the dealing hand has gone to Hon’ble High Court.

4. After hearing both the parties, it is observed that no information has been given to the appellant so far. During the last hearing, a show cause notice issued to the respondent, but the respondent has not bothered about the show cause notice and no information has been given to the appellant so far. This is indeed a serious matter.

5. A perusal of the file shows that the representative of the appellant has had attended three hearings before the Commission. He has, therefore, suffered mental harassment and financial loss in attending the hearings in the Commission. In this view of the matter, ends of justice would be met by awarding a compensation of Rs.2500/- (Rupees Two Thousand Five hundred Only) to the appellant. The compensation will be paid by the office of the Respondent to the appellant within seven days of the receipt of this order.

**Appeal Case No. 1887 of 2017**

6. Smt. Harwinder Kaur, PIO is directed to come present on the next date of hearing alongwith complete information, failing which action will be initiated against her under the RTI Act 2005.

7. To come up for confirmation of compliance on **23.01.2018 (at 11.00 AM).** Copies of the order be sent to the parties through registered post.

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 19.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**Through registered post.**

CC: Smt. Harwinder Kaur, PIO , O/o DPI(SE), Phase 8 , Mohali

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh Mohit Puri, S/o Lt Sh Bharat Bhushan Puri,

R/o Village Mullanpur Garibdass, Tehsil kharar,

Distt Mohali Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o District Education Officer,(S)

Patiala

**First Appellate Authority**,

O/o District Education Officer, (S)

Patiala ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2941 of 2017**

Present: (i) Sh. Mohit Puri the appellant

(ii) None is present on behalf of the respondent

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.11.2017 vide which the respondent was absent and he was directed to come present.

2. The appellant states that no information has been given to him so far.

3. Respondent is absent without any intimation.

4. A perusal of the file shows that the appellant filed RTI on 30.06.2017 but after lapse of more than five months no information has been given to the appellant so far. Even the respondent has not bothered to attend the hearings in the Commission, which shows that the respondent-PIO has no regard for the orders issued by the Commission.

5. In view of the foregoing, PIO(by name) is directed to show cause why action should not be taken against him for not attending the hearing inspite of order of the Commission. He should file a written reply in response to the show cause notice as to why the appellant should not be compensated for the mental harassment suffered by him in getting the information. In addition to the written reply PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file his written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

**Appeal Case No. 2941 of 2017**

6. To come up on **15.01.2018 at 11.00 AM**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties ***through registered post.***

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 19.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh Mohit Puri, S/o Lt Sh Bharat Bhushan Puri,

R/o Village Mullanpur Garibdass, Tehsil kharar,

Distt Mohali Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o District Education Officer, (S)

Patiala

**First Appellate Authority**,

O/o District Education Officer, (S)

Patiala ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2940 of 2017**

Present: (i) Sh. Mohit Puri the appellant

(ii) None is present on behalf of the respondent

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.11.2017 vide which the respondent was absent and he was directed to come present.

2. The appellant states that no information has been given to him so far.

3. Respondent is absent without any intimation.

4. A perusal of the file shows that the appellant filed RTI on 30.06.2017 but after lapse of more than five months no information has been given to the appellant so far. Even the respondent has not bothered to attend the hearings in the Commission, which shows that the respondent-PIO has no regard for the orders issued by the Commission.

5. In view of the foregoing, PIO(by name) is directed to show cause why action should not be taken against him for not attending the hearing inspite of order of the Commission. He should file a written reply in response to the show cause notice as to why the appellant should not be compensated for the mental harassment suffered by him in getting the information. In addition to the written reply PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file his written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

**Appeal Case No. 2940 of 2017**

6. To come up on **15.01.2018 at 11.00 AM**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties ***through registered post.***

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 19.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh Kuldeep Singh, S/o Sh Hari Singh,

Hira Bagh, Street no-12, Jagraon,

Distt Ludhiana. Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Conservator of Forest,

South Circle, Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority**,

Principal, Chief Conservator of Forest,

Sector-68, Mohali ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2959 of 2017**

Present : (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Satnam Singh, Clerk

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 28.11.2017 vide which the appellant was directed to deposit the documentation fee.

2. Today the appellant is absent without any intimation.

3. Respondent states that the appellant has not deposited documentation fees as directed during the last hearing.

4. After hearing the respondent, it is clear that as directed during the last hearing, the appellant has not deposited documentation fee. The appellant is directed to deposit the documentation fees and obtain the information. Respondent is directed to provide all the documents to the appellant on receipt of the requisite fee.

5. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left. The appeal filed by the appellant is, therefore, **disposed of and closed.**  Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 19.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh. Yogesh Mahajan,

Anti Corruption Council, Opposite Water Tank

Municipal Market, Mission Road,

Pathankot

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Sub Divisional Officer

Golla Canal, S/D

Channu Wal

**First Appellate Authority**,

Superintendent Engineer

Sirhind Canal Circle,

Ludhiana ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3060 of 2017**

Present : (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Gyandeep Singh, JE

**ORDER**

1. The RTI application is dated 19.08.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 31.10.2017 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 19.12.2017 in the Commission.
3. The appellant is absent. He has informed on telephone that he has not received information.
4. Respondent states that complete information has been sent to the appellant. He has also given in writing that no more information is available in their office record.
5. Copy of the same be sent to the appellant alongwith the orders. Since, the respondent has sent complete information to the appellant and he has also given in writing that no more information is available in their office record. No cause of action is left. The appeal filed by the appellant is, therefore, **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties. Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 19.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh. Yogesh Mahajan,

Anti Corruption Council, Opposite Water Tank

Municipal Market, Mission Road,

Pathankot

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Executive Engineer,

Faridkot Drainage construction

Draining, Faridkot

**First Appellate Authority**,

Superintendent Engineer

Drainage circle, Ferozepur

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3063 of 2017**

Present : (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Jagseer Singh, SDO

**ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 19.08.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 31.10.2017 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 19.12.2017 in the Commission.

3. The appellant is absent. He has informed on telephone that he has received not received the information.

4. Respondent states that the information has been sent to the appellant day before yesterday.

5. The appellant is advised to revert back to the authorities in case he is not satisfied with the information. On receipt of the deficiencies, the respondents are directed to remove the same before the next date of hearing.

6. To come up on **15.01.2017 at 11.00 AM**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 19.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh. Karnail Singh, S/o Sh Mehanga Singh,

R/o Village bakhatpur, P.O Bhumbli,

Tehsil and Distt Gurdaspur Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o District Education Officer (S),

Gurdaspur

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Deputy Director Education (S),

Jalandhar ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2163 of 2017**

Present : (i) Sh. Rimple Saini for Dinesh Mahajan for the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. R.S. Dhillon,

**ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 07.12.2017 vide which the respondent was directed to appear personally with the complete information.

2. Today again the respondent is not present. His counsel Sh. R.S. Dhillon is appearing and has sought some time.

3. In view of the foregoing, PIO(by name) is directed to show cause why action should not be taken against him for not attending the hearing inspite of order of the Commission. He should file a written reply in response to the show cause notice as to why the appellant should not be compensated for the mental harassment suffered by him in getting the information. In addition to the written reply PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file his written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

**Appeal Case No. 2163 of 2017**

4. To come up on **15.01.2018 at 11.00 AM**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties ***through registered post.***

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 19.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Smt. Paramjit Kaur,

w/o Sh. Gurdip Singh Kahlon

r/o # 947, HIG PHB Colony, Jamalpur

Ludhiana

Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Sigma College of Nursing

Dana Mandi, Gill Road,

Ludhiana ...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1195 of 2017**

Present : None for the parties.

**ORDER**

1. The RTI application is dated 12.08.2017 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 31.10.2017 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 19.12.2017 in the Commission.

3. Neither the respondent nor the complainant is present today. The complainant has sent a letter vide Commission diary no. 28799 dated 19.12.2017 mentioning therein that he is unable to attend today’s hearing as his son is not well.

4. Respondent is also absent. He has sent his reply mentioning therein that their college is purely private institution which is being run by a Regd. Society i.e. Sigma Health Training Institute, Ludhiana.

5. Copy of the reply be sent to the complainant alongwith the orders. He is directed to file rejoinder before the next date of hearing with a copy to the respondent.

6. To come up on 16.01.2017 at 11.00 AM. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 19.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh. Amarjeet Venja, s/o Ranjha Ram,

Chamber No. 47, New Civil Court Complex,

Tohana, Distt. Fatehabad

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o District Education Officer (S)

Sangrur

**First Appellate Authority**,

District Education Officer (S)

Sangrur ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3109 of 2017**

Present : (i) Sh. Amarjeet Verma the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Smt. Karamjit Kaur, Junior Assistant

**ORDER**

The RTI application is dated NIL vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 03.11.2017 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 19.12.2017 in the Commission.

3. Smt. Karamjit Kaur the respondent states that the appellant has demanded information regarding private school i.e. Gurukul Public School. She has also submitted in writing by DEO(SE), Sangrur that the Gurukul Public School, Salemgarh , Distt. Sangrur is purely private school. Copy of the same is handed over to the appellant.

4. Respondent is directed to file an affidavit stating the same on the next date of hearing with a copy to the appellant.

5. Smt. Indu Singh, ADO-cum-PIO is directed to come present on the next date of hearing.

6. To come up on 15.01.2018 at 11.00 AM. Copy of the order be sent to the parties through registered post. Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 19.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh. Ranjeev Kumar, S/o Sh. Inderjit

Village Gandhian, PO Paniar

Tehsil and Distt. Gurdaspur

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Chairman, Shivalik College of Education

Mustafabad Jattan, PO Babehali

Distt. Gurdaspur

**First Appellate Authority**,

O/o Chairman, Shivalik College of Education

Mustafabad Jattan, PO Babehali

Distt. Gurdaspur ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1217 of 2017**

Present : (i) Sh. Ranjeev Kumar the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Munish Puri, Advocate

**ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 21.08.2017 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 02.11.2017 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 19.12.2017 in the Commission.

3. As per the Respondent, this information sought by the appellant cannot be supplied inasmuch as it is exempt from disclosure under Section 8(i) (j) RTI Act 2005.

4. A perusal of the RTI filed by the appellant shows that the information demanded by him is third party information, therefore cannot be given to him.

5. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left. The appeal filed by the appellant is, therefore, **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties. Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 19.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh. Satpal Bansal,

c/o Pushpa Nursing Home,

Water Works Road,

Mansa Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Nagar Council, Mansa

**First Appellate Authority**,

o/o Nagar Council, Mansa ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3094 of 2017**

Present : (i) Dr. Satpal Bansal alongwith Sh. Vijay Kumar Garg

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Suresh Kumar, EO, Mansa and Sh. Tarsem Singh, Clerk

**ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 15.05.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 01.11.2017 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 19.12.2017 in the Commission.

3. Respondent states that the information demanded by the appellant is third party information. He has also given his written reply. The appellant states that he is not satisfied with the reply of the respondent.

4. In so far as information demanded by the appellant, I see no reason why it should be denied. In view of the foregoing, I, accordingly, overrule the objection of the Respondent and direct that the information demanded by the appellant be provided to him by the next date of hearing.

5. To come up on 16.01.2018 at 11.00 AM. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 19.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh. Satpal Bansal,

c/o Pushpa Nursing Home,

Water Works Road, Mansa

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Nagar Council, Mansa

**First Appellate Authority**,

o/o Nagar Council, Mansa

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1218 of 2017**

Present : (i) Dr. Satpal Bansal alongwith Sh. Vijay Kumar Garg

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Suresh Kumar, EO, Mansa, Sh. Tarsem Singh, Clerk

**ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 05.06.2017 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 02.11.2017 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 19.12.2017 in the Commission.

3. The appellant states that no information has been given to him so far.

4. Respondent states that the information demanded by the appellant is very voluminous.

5. After hearing both the parties, it is ascertained that the information demanded by the appellant is very voluminous; therefore, the appellant is directed to visit the office of respondent on 26.12.2017 at 11.00 AM, inspect the relevant records, identify the documents copies whereof are required by him; and the respondent shall provided copies thereof, according to his RTI application, in accordance with the relevant provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

**Appeal Case No. 1218 of 2017**

6. To come up on 16.01.2018 at 11.00 AM. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 19.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh. Satpal Bansal,

c/o Pushpa Nursing Home,

Water Works Road, Mansa

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Nagar Council, Mansa

**First Appellate Authority**,

o/o Nagar Council, Mansa

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1219 of 2017**

Present : (i) Dr. Satpal Bansal alongwith Sh. Vijay Kumar Garg

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Suresh Kumar, EO, Mansa, Sh. Tarsem Singh, Clerk

**ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 11.07.2017 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 02.11.2017 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 19.12.2017 in the Commission.

3. The appellant states that no information has been given to him so far.

4. Respondent states that the information demanded by the appellant is very voluminous.

5. After hearing both the parties, it is ascertained that the information demanded by the appellant is very voluminous; therefore, the appellant is directed to visit the office of respondent on 27.12.2017 at 11.00 AM, inspect the relevant records, identify the documents copies whereof are required by him; and the respondent shall provided copies thereof, according to his RTI application, in accordance with the relevant provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

**Appeal Case No. 1219 of 2017**

6. To come up on 16.01.2018 at 11.00 AM. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 19.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Registered post

Mr. Sishu Paul Verma

# 143, NMS Colony, Patiala

Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Punjabi University,

Patiala

**Remanded Back to**

First Appellate Authority

O/o Punjabi University,

Patiala

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 1190 of 2017**

Present : (i) Sh. Shishu Pal Verma the complainant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Vikrant Sharma, Advocate

**ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 08.09.2017 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 30.10.2017 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 19.12.2017 in the Commission.

3. Complainant states that he is not satisfied with the information provided.

4. Sh. Vikrant Sharma, the respondent states that complete information has already been sent to the complainant.

5. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

**Complaint Case No. 1190 of 2017**

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

6. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant has any grouse about the provided information, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act,2005, who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

7. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

8.In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **remanded back to the First Appellate Authority.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties ***through registered post.***

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 19.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh. Simar Singh, S/o Sh. Mohinder singh

VPO Kaunke Kalan, Tehsil Jagraon

Distt. Ludhiana

Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o District Education Officer (S)

Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority**,

O/o Director Primary Instruction (S)

Phase 8, Mohali

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3105 of 2017**

Present : (i) Sh. Simar singh the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Ranjodh Singh. Clerk

**ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 06.05.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.11.2017 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 19.12.2017 in the Commission.

3. Respondent states that he has brought the information today in the Commission to deliver it to the appellant, which is handed over to him. The appellant has gone through the same and states that he is satisfied with the information provided.

4. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left. The appeal filed by the appellant is, therefore, **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 19.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB, STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Building, Sector-16, Chandigarh**

Ph no- 0172-2864117, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

E-mail Id- [psic27@punjabmal.gov.in](mailto:psic27@punjabmal.gov.in).

Sh. Ankush Mahajan, s/o Sh. Sham Lal Mahajan

R/o Ward no. 5, Mohalla Anandpur Road

New Abadi Near Water Tank,

Tehsil and Distt. Pathankot Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

O/o Assistant Registrar G.N.D.U

Amritsar

**First Appellate Authority**,

o/o Vice Chancellor, G.N.D.U

Amritsar ...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3104 of 2017**

Present : (i) Sh. Rimple Saini for Dinesh Mahajan “Advocate for the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Sarabjit singh, Sr. Assistant

**ORDER**

The RTI application is dated 27.04.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 07.11.2017 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 19.12.2017 in the Commission.

3. Sh. Rimple Saini counsel for the appellant states that incomplete information has been given to the appellant by the respondent so far.

4. Respondent states that he has personally brought the information to deliver it to the appellant, which is handed over to Sh. Rimple Saini. Respondent has also given in writing that no more information is available in their office record. Copy of the same is handed over to the representative of the appellant.

5. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left. The appeal filed by the appellant is, therefore, **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties. Sd/-

**Chandigarh (Preety Chawla)**

**Dated: 19.12.2017 State Information Commissioner**